International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1402.6585v1 [hep-ex] 26 Feb 2014

Test of QCD at large Q^2 with exclusive hadronic processes

Chengping Shen for the Belle Collaboration

School of Physics and Nuclear Energy Engineering, Beihang University
Beijing, 100191, China
shencp@ihep.ac.cn

My report consists of two parts: (1). Using samples of 102 million $\Upsilon(1S)$ and 158 million $\Upsilon(2S)$ events at Belle, we study 17 exclusive hadronic decays of these two bottomonium resonances to some Vector-Pseudoscalar (VP), Vector-Tensor (VT) and Axial-vector-Pseudoscalar (AP) processes and their final states. Branching fractions are measured for all the processes. The ratios of the branching fractions of $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays into the same final state are used to test a perturbative QCD (pQCD) prediction for OZI-suppressed bottomonium decays. (2). Using data samples of 89 fb^{-1}, 703 fb^{-1}, and 121 fb^{-1} collected at center-of-mass (CMS) energies 10.52, 10.58, and 10.876 GeV, respectively, we measure the cross sections of $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$, $K^*(892)\bar{K}$, and $K_2^*(1430)\bar{K}$. The energy dependence of the cross sections is presented.

Keywords: $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, hadronic decays, cross sections

PACS numbers: 13.25.Gv, 14.40.Pq, 13.66.Bc, 13.40.Gp

1. Measurement of $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays into VP final states

We know for the OZI (Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka) suppressed decays of the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ to hadrons proceed via the annihilation of the quark-antiquark pair into three gluons or a photon, pQCD provides a relation for the ratios of branching fractions ($\mathcal{B}$) for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays

$$Q_\psi = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S)\to\text{hadrons}}}{\mathcal{B}_{J/\psi\to\text{hadrons}}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S)\to e^+e^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{J/\psi\to e^+e^-}} \approx 12\%, \tag{1}$$

which is referred to as the "12% rule" and is expected to apply with reasonable accuracy to both inclusive and exclusive decays. However, it is found to be severely violated for $\rho\pi$ and other VP and VT final states.

A similar rule can be derived for OZI-suppressed bottomonium decays:

$$Q_\Upsilon = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Upsilon(2S)\to\text{hadrons}}}{\mathcal{B}_{\Upsilon(1S)\to\text{hadrons}}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Upsilon(2S)\to e^+e^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{\Upsilon(1S)\to e^+e^-}} = 0.77 \pm 0.07. \tag{2}$$

Recently, using 102 million $\Upsilon(1S)$ and 158 million $\Upsilon(2S)$ events Belle studied exclusive hadronic decays of these two bottomonium resonances to the three-body final states ϕK^+K^-, $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ and $K^{*0}(892)K^-\pi^+$ [1], and to the two-body VT states ($\phi f_2'(1525)$, $\omega f_2(1270)$, $\rho a_2(1320)$ and $K^{*0}(892)\bar{K}_2^{*0}(1430)$) and AP ($K_1(1270)^+K^-$,

$K_1(1400)^+K^-$ and $b_1(1235)^+\pi^-$) states [2]. Signals are observed for the first time in the $\Upsilon(1S) \to \phi K^+K^-$, $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, $K^{*0}K^-\pi^+$, $K^{*0}K_2^{*0}$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \to \phi K^+K^-$, $K^{*0}K^-\pi^+$ decay modes. Besides $K^{*0}K_2^{*0}$, no other two-body processes are observed in all investigated final states. For the processes ϕK^+K^-, $K^{*0}K^-\pi^+$, and $K^{*0}\bar{K}_2^{*0}(1430)$, the Q_Υ ratios are consistent with the expected value, while for $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, the measured Q_Υ ratio is 2.6σ below the pQCD expectation. The results for the other modes are inconclusive due to low statistical significance.

We also used the same data samples of $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ to study exclusive hadronic decays to the $K_S^0K^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$, and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and two-body VP ($K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$, $K^*(892)^-K^+$, $\omega\pi^0$, and $\rho\pi$) final states [3]. After event selections, Fig. 2 shows the $K^+\pi^-$ and $K_S^0\pi^-$ invariant mass distributions for the $K_S^0K^+\pi^-$ final state, the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ final state, and the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ final state. An unbinned simultaneous maximum likelihood fit was done to these mass spectra. The results of the fits are shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Results for the $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays, where $N_{\rm sig}$ is the number of signal events from the fits, $N_{\rm sig}^{\rm UL}$ is the upper limit on the number of signal events, Σ is the statistical significance (σ), $\mathcal{B}$ is the branching fraction (in units of 10^{-6}), $\mathcal{B}^{\rm UL}$ is the 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction.

Channel	Υ(1S)			Υ(2S)		
	$N_{\rm sig}/N_{\rm sig}^{\rm UL}$	Σ	$\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}^{\rm UL}$	$N^{\rm sig}/N_{\rm sig}^{\rm UL}$	Σ	$\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}^{\rm UL}$
$K_S^0K^+\pi^-$	37.2 ± 7.6	6.2	$1.59 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.18$	39.5 ± 10.3	4.0	$1.14 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.13$
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	143.2 ± 22.4	7.1	$12.8 \pm 2.01 \pm 2.27$	260.7 ± 37.2	7.4	$13.0 \pm 1.86 \pm 2.08$
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	25.5 ± 8.6	3.4	$2.14 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.34$	15	—	0.80
$K^{*0}K^0$	16.1 ± 4.7	4.4	$2.92 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.37$	30	2.7	4.22
$K^{*-}K^+$	6.3	1.3	1.11	13	2.0	1.45
$\omega\pi^0$	6.8	1.6	3.90	4.6	0.1	1.63
$\rho\pi$	22	2.2	3.68	14	—	1.16

Signals are observed for the first time in the $\Upsilon(1S) \to K_S^0K^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ decay modes. There is an indication for large isospin-violation between the branching fractions for the charged and neutral $K^*(892)\bar{K}$ for both $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays, as in $\psi(2S)$ decays, which indicates that the electromagnetic process plays an important role in these decays. For the processes $K_S^0K^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$, the Q_Υ ratios are consistent with the expected value; for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, the Q_Υ ratio is a little lower than the pQCD prediction. The results for the other modes are inconclusive due to low statistical significance.

2. Measurement of $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$, $K^*(892)\bar{K}$ and $K_2^*(1430)\bar{K}$

Different models predict different energy dependence of the cross sections for the process $e^+e^- \to$ VP. If SU(3) flavor symmetry is perfect, one expects the cross sections of $\omega\pi^0 : K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 : K^*(892)^-K^+$ production equal 9:8:2. However, this relation was found to be violated severely at $\sqrt{s} = 3.67$ GeV and 3.773 GeV by the



Fig. 1. The fits to the $K^+\pi^-$, $K^0_S\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\pi\pi$ mass distributions for the $K^*(892)^0$, $K^*(892)^-$, ω and ρ vector meson candidates from $K^0_SK^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events from $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays. The solid histograms show the results of the simultaneous fits, the dotted curves show the total background estimates, and the shaded histograms are the normalized continuum contributions.

CLEO experiment with the ratio $R_{\rm VP} = \frac{\sigma_B(e^+e^-\to K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0)}{\sigma_B(e^+e^-\to K^*(892)^-K^+)}$ greater than 9 and 33 at $\sqrt{s} = 3.67$ GeV and 3.773 GeV, respectively, at the 90% C.L. By taking into account $\rm SU(3)_f$ symmetry breaking, a pQCD calculation predicts $R_{\rm VP} = 6.0$. In the quark model, one may naively expect $R_{\rm TP} = \frac{\sigma_B(e^+e^-\to K^*_2(1430)^0\bar{K}^0)}{\sigma_B(e^+e^-\to K^*_2(1430)^-K^+)} = R_{\rm VP}$.

The cross sections of $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$, $K^*(892)\bar{K}$, and $K^*_2(1430)\bar{K}$ are measured [4], based on data samples of 89 fb^{-1}, 703 fb^{-1}, and 121 fb^{-1} collected at $\sqrt{s}$ =10.52, 10.58 ($\Upsilon(4S)$ peak), and 10.876 GeV ($\Upsilon(5S)$ peak), respectively. After event selections, Fig. 2 shows the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+\pi^-$, and $K^0_S\pi^-$ invariant mass distributions for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ and $K^0_SK^+\pi^-$ final states. Unbinned maximum likelihood fitted results are listed in Table 2 together with the calculated Born cross sections. Assuming $1/s^n$ dependence, the fit gives $n = 3.83 \pm 0.07$ and 3.75 ± 0.12 for $e^+e^- \to K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$ and $\omega\pi^0$ cross sections distributions. With the calculated Born cross sections, we obtain $R_{\rm VP} > 4.3, 20.0, 5.4$, and $R_{\rm TP} < 1.1, 0.4, 0.6$, for $\sqrt{s} = 10.52$, 10.58, and 10.876 GeV, respectively, at the 90% C.L. Both the ratios are different from the predictions from exact or broken SU(3) symmetry models.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported partly by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (303236).

References

1. Charge-conjugate decays are implicitly assumed throughout the report.
2. Belle Collab. (C. P. Shen *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 031102(R) (2012).
3. Belle Collab. (C. P. Shen *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 011102(R) (2013).
4. Belle Collab. (C. P. Shen *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 052019 (2013).


e+e- → ω π0 at √s=10.52 GeV
e+e- → ω π0 at √s=10.58 GeV
e+e- → ω π0 at √s=10.876 GeV
e+e- → K* K̄ at √s=10.52 GeV
e+e- → K* K̄ at √s=10.58 GeV
e+e- → K* K̄ at √s=10.876 GeV
e+e- → K*- K+ at √s=10.52 GeV
e+e- → K*- K+ at √s=10.58 GeV
e+e- → K*- K+ at √s=10.876 GeV
e+e- → uū/dd̄/ss̄ bkg
ISR e+e- → ωI/φ bkg
Total fitted bkg
Events/15 MeV/c2
Events/20 MeV/c2
Events/25 MeV/c2
M(π+ π- π0) (GeV/c2)
M(K π) (GeV/c2)
M(KS π-) (GeV/c2)

Fig. 2. The fits to the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (top row), $K^+\pi^-$ (middle row) and $K^0_S\pi^-$ (bottom row) invariant mass distributions for the ω, $K^*(892)$, and $K^*_2(1430)$ meson candidates from $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ and $K^0_S K^+\pi^-$ events from the $\sqrt{s} = 10.52$ GeV, 10.58 GeV, and 10.876 GeV data samples. The solid lines show the results of the fits described in the text, the dotted curves show the total background estimates, the dark shaded histograms are from the normalized ISR backgrounds $e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\omega/\phi \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and the light shaded histograms are from the normalized $e^+e^- \to u\bar{u}/d\bar{d}/s\bar{s}$ backgrounds.

Table 2. Results for the Born cross sections, where $N_{\rm sig}$ is the number of fitted signal events, $N^{\rm UL}_{\rm sig}$ is the upper limit on the number of signal events, Σ is the signal significance, σ_B is the Born cross section, $\sigma^{\rm UL}_B$ is the upper limit on the Born cross section. All the upper limits are given at the 90% C.L. The first uncertainty in σ_B is statistical, and the second systematic.

Channel	$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	$N_{\rm sig}$	$N^{\rm UL}_{\rm sig}$	Σ (σ)	σ_B (fb)	$\sigma^{\rm UL}_B$ (fb)
$\omega\pi^0$	10.52	$4.1^{+3.3}_{-2.6}$	9.9	1.6	$4.53^{+3.64}_{-2.88} \pm 0.50$	11
	10.58	$38.8^{+8.3}_{-7.6}$	—	6.7	$6.01^{+1.29}_{-1.18} \pm 0.57$	—
	10.876	$-0.7^{+2.9}_{-2.1}$	7.0	—	$-0.68^{+2.71}_{-1.97} \pm 0.20$	6.5
$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$	10.52	$34.6^{+6.9}_{-6.1}$	—	7.4	$10.77^{+2.15}_{-1.90} \pm 0.77$	—
	10.58	187 ± 17	—	>10	$7.48 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.51$	—
	10.876	$34.6^{+7.5}_{-6.7}$	—	7.2	$7.58^{+1.64}_{-1.47} \pm 0.63$	—
$K^*(892)^- K^+$	10.52	$4.6^{+3.6}_{-2.7}$	9.3	1.4	$1.14^{+0.90}_{-0.67} \pm 0.15$	2.3
	10.58	$5.9^{+4.7}_{-3.8}$	14	1.5	$0.18^{+0.14}_{-0.12} \pm 0.02$	0.4
	10.876	$1.6^{+3.9}_{-3.0}$	8.5	0.3	$0.28^{+0.68}_{-0.52} \pm 0.10$	1.5
$K^*_2(1430)^0\bar{K}^0$	10.52	$1.3^{+4.3}_{-3.9}$	6.8	0.3	$0.76^{+2.53}_{-2.26} \pm 0.14$	4.0
	10.58	21^{+11}_{-10}	40	2.1	$1.65^{+0.86}_{-0.78} \pm 0.27$	3.1
	10.876	$1.0^{+4.5}_{-3.7}$	8.9	0.2	$0.38^{+1.79}_{-1.47} \pm 0.07$	3.5
$K^*_2(1430)^- K^+$	10.52	$12.0^{+6.2}_{-5.8}$	21	2.1	$6.06^{+3.13}_{-2.93} \pm 1.34$	11
	10.58	129 ± 15	—	>10	$8.36 \pm 0.95 \pm 0.62$	—
	10.876	$17.6^{+5.3}_{-4.6}$	—	4.5	$6.20^{+1.86}_{-1.63} \pm 0.64$	—